

Nutrient Use and Uptake Efficiency in Wheat and Triticale Genotypes under Low and Optimum Input Conditions

Akshay Kumar Vats Satyavir Singh Dhanda Renu Munjal O. P. Bishnoi Rishi Kumar Behl*

Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar 125004 India

*Corresponding author e-mail: rkbehlprof@gmail.com

Citation:

Vats A.K., Dhanda S. S., Munjal R., Bishnoi O. P., Behl R. K., 2016. Nutrient use and uptake efficiency in wheat and triticale genotypes under low and optimum input conditions. Ekin J. 2(2):95-100.

Received: 04.05.2016

Accepted: 07.06.2016

Published Online: 28.07.2016

Printed: 30.07.2016

ABSTRACT

N, P and Zn content, harvest index and grain yield were used to evaluate diverse 32 wheat and triticale genotypes categorized in four groups i.e. *Triticum aestivum*, *Triticum durum*, synthetic wheat and triticale genotypes. The genotypes differed significantly for all the characters indicating considerable variation for improvement of these traits. The varieties LoK1 and HD2687 were having highest grain yield under low and optimum input conditions respectively, while HD 2687 showed maximum percent of increase over low input conditions. PBW343 and P7307 were having highest harvest index under low and optimum input conditions. As nutrient use and uptake parameters are concerned, for N content triticale genotypes TL2963 and TL2967 showed highest in HD2687 (285.96 per cent). Genotypes TL2966 and Syn36 were having highest P content under low and optimum input conditions respectively among all the four groups, while TL2969 responded better over low input conditions. Among all the four groups triticale genotype TL2963 showed trend having high content of zinc under both low and optimum input conditions, whether for percent of increase over low input conditions P7531 responded better. Path coefficient analysis revealed that harvest index followed by biological yield had the direct effect under both conditions.

Keywords: Nutrient use efficiency, wheat and triticale, N, P, Zn content in wheat, harvest index.

Introduction

Wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) is one of the most important cereal crops in the world. The area, production and productivity of wheat in India is approximately 28.30 million ha, 84.70 million tones, approximately 29.90 qtl/ha, respectively during the year 2010-11. The corresponding figures in Haryana are 25.15 lakh ha, 116.30 lakh tones, 46.24 qtl/ha (Anonymous, 2010-11). The increase in the use of nitrogen (N) and phosphorous (P) fertilizers between 1960 and 2000 by intensive agricultural practices has led to degradation of air and ground water quality (Tilman et al., 2001). Even though N is among the most abundant elements on earth, it is the critical limiting element for growth of most plants due to its unavailability (Graham and Vance, 2000). According to an estimate, about 54% soils in Harvana are

deficient in zinc. Soils with extractable Zn less than 0.6% mg/ kg soil will require the application of particular nutrient to sustain production. About 50% of the soils used for cereal production in the world contain low levels of the zinc available to plants which reduces not only grain yield, but also nutritional quality of grains. Triticale is one of the synthetic amphiploid of wheat and rye which came into commercial cultivation. Synthetic wheat are produced by artificially crossing tetraploid durum wheat (Triticum turgidum, 2n=4x=28, AABB), donor of the A and B genomes, with Triticum tauschii (2n=2x=14DD). P is second only to N as the most limiting nutrient for the plant growth (Biellski, 1973, Vance et al., 2000). Thus, efficiency of wheat cultivars in N use has become increasingly important, because of the cost of N fertilizer and of the potential

for nitrate pollution of underground water and the atmosphere. Research has shown that modern semi dwarf cultivars respond more to available nitrogen than the old, tall cultivars, which translates into higher returns to farmers (Ortiz - Monasterio et al., 1997). In addition, semi dwarf wheats do not necessarily require more nitrogen than older cultivars at lower levels of fertility (Ortiz - Monasterio et al., 1997). Graham et al., (2000) reported that deficiencies of zinc are well known in all cereals and cereal growing countries. From physiological evidence reported elsewhere, it would appear that a critical level for zinc is required in the soil before roots will either grow into it or function effectively (Graham et al., 2000). Zinc efficient genotypes absorb more zinc from deficient soils, produce more dry matter and more grain yield but do not necessarily have the highest zinc concentrations in tissue or grain. Although high grain zinc concentration also appears to be under genetic control, it is not tightly linked to agronomic zinc efficiency traits and may have to be selected for independently (Graham et al., 2000).

Material and methods

Experimental material: Experimental material comprised four groups of genotypes, namely, *T. aestivum*, *T. durum*, Triticale and synthetic wheat. Each group consisted of 8 genotypes, thus making a total of 32 genotypes. The detail of experimental material is given below.

Environments: The experiment was conducted in following environments

Low input: On the basis of soil test the doses of fertilizer were corrected up to 60 kg N, 30 kg P_2O_5 /ha. In addition to this two irrigations were applied. First irrigation was applied on CRI stage and second irrigation was applied on flowering stage.

Optimum input: On the basis of soil test the doses of fertilizer were corrected up to 150 kg N, 60 kg P_2O_5 /ha. In addition, four irrigations were applied, first irrigation was applied on CRI stage, second irrigation was applied on tillering, third on flowering stage, and fourth on dough stage.

Layout: The design was laid out in split plot design. Plot size was of single row of 3 m length. Observations were taken as 5 plants / entry / replication.

Estimation of N content and P content - 0.5 gram of finely grounded samples were taken in digestion tube and 10 ml sulfuric acid + perchloric acid in the ratio of 4:1 poured in digestion tube and left over night. The material was heated from 90 minutes at 160°C and 30 minutes at 220°C. After cooling, every digestion tube was filled with 30 ml distilled water and after shaking volume was made 50 ml. Then this end product was filtered into plastic bottle of 100 ml and such digests were analyzed for N content and P content.



Estimation of Zn content - 0.5 gram of finely grounded samples were taken in digestion tube and 20 ml nitric acid + perchloric acid in the ratio of 4:1 poured in digestion tube and left over night. The material was heated from 90 minutes at 160°C and 30 minutes at 220°C. After cooling, every digestion tube was filled with 30 ml distilled water and after shaking volume was made 50 ml. Then this end product was filtered into plastic bottle of 100 ml and such digests were analyzed for Zn content.

N content (%) - N content was estimated in plant sample following standard procedure of A.O.A.C. (1970).

N uptake (mg/plant) - N uptake was calculated by multiplying the N content in shoot by dry weight.

P content in shoot (%) - P content was measured following standard procedure of A.O.A.C. (1953)

P uptake (mg) - P uptake was calculated by multiplying the P content in shoot by dry weight.

Zn content (ppm) in shoot - Zn content was determined by Atomic Absorption Spectro-photometer, GBC 902 plus.Micronutrients uptake was calculated by multiplying content with dry yield of straw.

The N, P and Zn use efficiency were determined by the method suggested by Moll (1982).

Results

Analysis of variance

Mean squares due to genotypes were significant for all the characters except for spikelets per spike. Therefore spikelet per spike was dropped from further analysis (Table1). Significant differences due to genotypes for various traits indicated that there was considerable variation among the genotypes. Genotype × fertilizer (G × F) interaction was significant for majority of the characters in *T. aestivum*, *T. durum*, triticale and synthetics. This indicated that genotypes differed in their response from low to optimum input conditions for the characters under study.

Mean performance of genotypes for various traits under low and optimum input conditions

The varieties LoK1 and HD2687 were having highest grain yield under low and optimum input conditions respectively, while HD 2687 showed maximum percent of increase over low input conditions. PBW343 and P7307 were having highest harvest index under low and optimum input conditions respectively, whereas Syn5 was found to show highest percent of increase over low input conditions (Table 2). Nitrogen content in grains was found highest in triticale genotypes TL2963 (2.43%) and TL2967 (2.74%) under low and optimum input conditions, respectively, while percent of increase over low input conditions was found to be highest in HD2687 (285.96%). For phosphorous content in grains TL2966 (0.52) and Syn36 (0.42) was found to be having highest P content

under low and optimum input conditions, while percent of increase over low input conditions was found to be highest in TL2969 (69.30). Triticale genotype TL2963 (97.03) and (100.64) was observed to have highest Zn content under low and optimum input conditions, respectively, while percent of increase over low input conditions was found to be highest in P7531 (63.90). Genotypes Lok1 (25.43) and PBW343 (38.95) performed better for nutrient use efficiency under low and optimum input conditions, respectively, while for percent of increase over low input conditions was found to be highest in TL2967 (141.98). Genotypes PBW343 (97.38) and Lok1 (127.15) performed better in both the field conditions i.e. low and optimum input conditions, respectively, while TL2969 (62.67) responded better upon fertilizer application. For zinc use efficiency genotypes PBW343 (233.72) and Lok1 (381.46) were best performing under low and optimum input conditions, respectively, while TL2969 (103.38) responded better over low input conditions among all the genotypes.

Discussion

The increase in mean performance of grain yield from low to optimum input conditions was up to 75.30% in *T. durum* group followed by triticale group (68.3%), Synthetic wheat group (67.1%) and

T. aestivum group (56.80%). The higher increase under optimum input conditions indicated the potential for fertilizer responsiveness of the genotypes which can be used in breeding programme for improvement of the trait under consideration. With regard to responsive genotypes in various groups the genotypes HD2687 in T. aestivum group, P7531 in T. durum group and TL2967 in triticale group and Syn 5 in synthetic wheat group were highly fertilizer responsive for grain yield. Singh and Prasad (1998) indicated that N application (0-80 kg N /ha) significantly increased the grain yield of wheat. Azad et al., (1998) found significant increase in yield of wheat due to increase in rate of fertilizer application from 100 percent recommended dose of NPK to 150 percent. Genotypes for harvest index responded from low to optimum input conditions upto 32.57% in T. durum group followed by 32.320% in synthetic wheat group, 29.50 % in triticale group and 22.41 % in *T. aestivum* group. Responsiveness of WH 1021 in *T. aestivum* group, HI8498 in *T. durum* group, TL 2968 in triticale group and Syn 36 in synthetic wheat group was high for biological yield, while for harvest index, DBW 17 in *T. aestivum* group, P7531 in *T. durum* group, TL 2967 in triticale group were highly responsive genotypes from low to optimum input conditions. Similarly Torabi and Malakuti (1997) found that the application of N (0-80 kg N/ha) increased grain yield but decreased harvest index of wheat.

The increase in mean performance of genotypes for N content in grams in various groups from low to optimum input conditions was upto 121.96% in T. aestivum group followed by 33.81% in T. durum group followed by 30.36% in triticale group followed 26.62% in synthetic wheat group. Similarly the mean performance of the genotypes for P content in grams from low to optimum input conditions in various groups was upto 20.48% in T. aestivum group followed by 8.39% in synthetic wheat group followed by 5.26% in T. durum group followed by 3.12% in triticale group. With regard to responsiveness the genotypes HD 2687 in T. aestivum group, HI 8498 in T. durum group, TL 2968 in triticale group and Syn 5 in synthetic wheat group were highly responsive for N content in grains. For P content in grains the genotypes WH 1021 in T. aestivum group, WHD 943 in T. durum group, TL 2969 in triticale group, Syn 27 in synthetic wheat group were highly responsive. The mean performance of the genotypes for Zn content in grains showed high response from low to optimum input conditions in various groups. The genotypes Lok1 in T. aestivum group, P 7531 in T. durum group, TL 2968 in triticale group and Syn 24 in synthetic wheat group were highly responsive. Rengel and Graham (1995) observed that zinc may be important for an early establishment of crops on low fertility soil and also for high grain yield and concluded that crops grown from seed containing higher Zn content have distinct advantages which culminate in grater yield when grown in soil of low Zn status.

Grain yield	Replication (2)†	Fertilizer(F) (1)	Error(a) (2)	Genotype(G) (7)	G X F (7)	Error (b) (28)			
T. aestivum	1.44	1062.58*	5.28	58.75*	17.82*	3.18			
T. durum	3.06	1543.15*	4.85	47.82*	16.03	5.42			
Triticale	19.89	740.49*	7.36	22.71	24.45*	5.60			
Synthetic wheat	3.64	322.51*	0.71	15.85*	4.02	1.85			
Biological yield per plant									
T. aestivum	20.33	2898.92*	10.05	307.36*	177.70*	12.58			
T. durum	17.37	3584.53*	22.86	259.10*	162.54*	11.42			
Triticale	148.61	1699.37*	77.85	190.23*	164.38*	41.45			
Synthetic wheat	59.26	938.99*	4.82	168.57^{*}	35.58	20.52			
Nitrogen content in grain									
T.aestivum	>0.01	13.38*	0.01	0.14^{*}	0.10^{*}	0.06			
T.durum	0.01	2.72^{*}	0.05	0.34*	0.18^{*}	0.03			
Triticale	0.01	4.09*	0.02	0.55*	0.20^{*}	0.01			
Synthetic wheat	0.01	1.94*	>0.01	0.22^{*}	0.33*	>0.01			
		Phosphore	ous content in	ı grain					
T.aestivum	>0.01	0.09^{*}	>0.01	0.03*	0.01^{*}	>0.01			
T.durum	>0.01	0.02^{*}	>0.01	0.01*	0.02^{*}	>0.01			
Triticale	>0.01	>0.01	>0.01	0.03*	0.01^{*}	>0.01			
Synthetic wheat	>0.01	0.03*	>0.01	0.03*	0.01^{*}	>0.01			
		Zinc o	content in gra	in					
T.aestivum	34.31	1914.85*	64.31	98.45*	24.46*	>0.01			
T.durum	34.31	2167.72*	64.31	67.23*	22.52*	>0.01			
Triticale	34.31	1040.06*	3.99	31.89*	34.35*	5.67			
Synthetic wheat	1.94	381.10*	7.92	533.49*	5.65*	>0.01			
Nitrogen use efficiency									
T.aestivum	473.74	533314.77*	2035.30	27680.89*	8937.17*	1631			
T.durum	1512.79	762110.91*	2398.31	23633.10*	7917.26*	2674.92			
Triticale	9811.03	365640.71*	3639.32	11211.18*	12074.28*	2764.71			
Synthetic wheat	34.50	450.80*	64.10	22.13*	1985.73	915.07			
Phosphorous use efficiency									
T.aestivum	9.19	3665.73*	79.13	1289.16*	364.13*	>0.01			
T.durum	9.16	1453.10*	78.76	811.17*	253.92*	>0.01			
Triticale	9.20	1381.38*	79.40	383.61*	413.95*	>0.01			
Synthetic wheat	9.19	679.51*	79.19	298.33*	89.35*	>0.01			
Zinc use efficiency									
T.aestivum	31.44	121962.46*	>0.01	10002.86*	3343.72*	>0.01			
T.durum	31.28	76632.09*	120.70	6169.46*	2147.48*	>0.01			
Triticale	31.44	53243.10*	120.54	3017.97*	3238.95*	>0.01			
Synthetic wheat	31.15	24838.45*	120.99	2325.27*	827.47*	>0.01			

Table 1. Mean squares for various characters of wheat genotypes evaluated under Low and optimum input conditions

*, **: significant at 5% and 1% level of significance respectively.



Trait	Input conditions	Genotype	Mean	% of increase over low input conditions	
	Low input	Lok1	21.45*	HD2687 (143.20)	
Grain yield per plant (g)	Optimum Input	HD2687	31.49*		
	Low input	WH147	75.30*	WH1021 (72.10)	
Biological yield (g)	Optimum Input	PBW343	91.10*		
	Low input	PBW343	32.50*	Syn5 (94.30)	
Harvest index	Optimum Input	P7307	38.60*		
	Low input	TL2963	2.43*	HD2687 (285.96)	
N content	Optimum Input	TL2967	2.74*		
	Low input	TL2966	0.52*	TL2969 (69.30)	
P content	Optimum Input	Syn36	0.42*		
	Low input	TL2963	97.03*	P7531 (63.90)	
Zn content	Optimum Input	TL2963	100.64*		
	Low input	Lok1	25.43*	TL2967 (141.98)	
Nutrient use efficiency	Optimum Input	PBW343	38.95*		
	Low input	PBW343	97.38*	TL2969 (62.67)	
Phosphorous use efficiency	Optimum Input	Lok1	127.15*		
	Low input	PBW343	233.72*	TL2969 (103.38)	
Zinc use efficiency	Optimum Input	Lok1	381.46*		
	Trait Grain yield per plant (g) Biological yield (g) Harvest index N content P content Zn content Nutrient use efficiency Phosphorous use efficiency	TraitInput conditionsGrain yield per plant (g)I. Gow inputBiological yield (g)I. Gow inputBiological yield (g)I. Gow inputHarvest indexI. Gow inputMarcenteriI. Gow inputMarcenteriI. Gow inputPromentI. Gow inputPromentI. Gow inputMarcenteriI. Gow input <td>TraitInput conditionsCenotypeGrain yield per plant (g)CovinputHD2687Grain yield per plant (g)CovinputPBW343Biological yield (g)CovinputPBW343Harvest indexCovinputPBW343Harvest indexCovinputPBW343NcontentCovinputTL2063NcontentCovinputTL2063PententCovinputSu363PententCovinputSu363ArranceCovinputSu363ArranceCovinputSu363ArranceCovinputSu363ArranceCovinputSu363ArranceCovinputSu363ArranceCovinputSu363ArranceCovinputSu363ArranceCovinputSu363ArranceCovinputSu363ArranceCovinputCovinputArranceCovinputCovinputArranceCovinputArranceArranceCovinputCovinputArranceCovinputCovinputArranceCovinputCovinputArranceCovinputCovinputArranceCovinputCovinputArranceCovinputCovinputArranceCovinputCovinputArranceCovinputCovinputArranceCovinputCovinputArranceCovinputCovinputArranceCovinputCovinputArranceCovinputCovinputArr</td> <td>TraitInput conditionsGenotypeMeanGrain yield per plant (g)Low inputHD268731.49*Biological yield (g)Low inputWH14775.30*Biological yield (g)Optimum InputPBW34391.10*Harvest indexLow inputPBW34332.50*Harvest indexOptimum InputP730738.60*N contentOptimum InputP730738.60*N contentOptimum InputTL29632.43*P contentOptimum InputTL29630.42*P contentOptimum InputSyn360.42*P contentCow inputTL29630.42*Mutrient use efficiencyLow inputTL2963100.64*Phosphorous use efficienceyCow inputDBW34338.95*Phosphorous use efficienceyLow inputPBW34339.73*Phosphorous use efficienceyLow inputDBW34325.47*Atow inputDBW34325.47*38.95*Phosphorous use efficienceyLow inputDBW34325.47*Atow inputDBW34325.75*38.95*Phosphorous use efficienceyLow inputDBW34325.75*Atow inputDBW34325.75*38.95*Phosphorous use efficienceyLow inputPBW34325.75*Atow inputDBW34525.75*38.95*Phosphorous use efficienceyCow inputDBW34325.75*Atow inputDBW34525.75*36.95*Phosphorous use efficiencey<t< td=""></t<></td>	TraitInput conditionsCenotypeGrain yield per plant (g)CovinputHD2687Grain yield per plant (g)CovinputPBW343Biological yield (g)CovinputPBW343Harvest indexCovinputPBW343Harvest indexCovinputPBW343NcontentCovinputTL2063NcontentCovinputTL2063PententCovinputSu363PententCovinputSu363ArranceCovinputSu363ArranceCovinputSu363ArranceCovinputSu363ArranceCovinputSu363ArranceCovinputSu363ArranceCovinputSu363ArranceCovinputSu363ArranceCovinputSu363ArranceCovinputSu363ArranceCovinputCovinputArranceCovinputCovinputArranceCovinputArranceArranceCovinputCovinputArranceCovinputCovinputArranceCovinputCovinputArranceCovinputCovinputArranceCovinputCovinputArranceCovinputCovinputArranceCovinputCovinputArranceCovinputCovinputArranceCovinputCovinputArranceCovinputCovinputArranceCovinputCovinputArranceCovinputCovinputArr	TraitInput conditionsGenotypeMeanGrain yield per plant (g)Low inputHD268731.49*Biological yield (g)Low inputWH14775.30*Biological yield (g)Optimum InputPBW34391.10*Harvest indexLow inputPBW34332.50*Harvest indexOptimum InputP730738.60*N contentOptimum InputP730738.60*N contentOptimum InputTL29632.43*P contentOptimum InputTL29630.42*P contentOptimum InputSyn360.42*P contentCow inputTL29630.42*Mutrient use efficiencyLow inputTL2963100.64*Phosphorous use efficienceyCow inputDBW34338.95*Phosphorous use efficienceyLow inputPBW34339.73*Phosphorous use efficienceyLow inputDBW34325.47*Atow inputDBW34325.47*38.95*Phosphorous use efficienceyLow inputDBW34325.47*Atow inputDBW34325.75*38.95*Phosphorous use efficienceyLow inputDBW34325.75*Atow inputDBW34325.75*38.95*Phosphorous use efficienceyLow inputPBW34325.75*Atow inputDBW34525.75*38.95*Phosphorous use efficienceyCow inputDBW34325.75*Atow inputDBW34525.75*36.95*Phosphorous use efficiencey <t< td=""></t<>	

Table 2. Mean performance of genotypes for various traits under low and optimum input conditions

*, **: Significant at 5% and 1% level of significance respectively.

References

- Anonymous (2010). Progress report of the All India Coordinated Wheat & Barley improvement Project 2009-10 - Crop Improvement. (Eds.) Shoran J, Tiwari, V, Chatrath, R, Verma, A, Singh, G, Tiwari R, Kumar R, Tyagi, BS, Sareen S, Singh SK and Singh SS Vol I, DWR, Karnal (Haryana), India.
- Azad BS, Gupta SC and Peer AC (1998). Influence of organic and inorganic fertilizers in maximizing wheat yield at irrigated conditions. Environment & Ecology. 16 (1): 71-73.
- Bieleski RL (1973). Phosphate pools, phosphate transport, and phosphate availability. Annual Review of Plant Physiology. **24**: 225-252.
- Grahham PH and Vance CP (2000). Nitrogen fixation in perspective: an overview of research and extension needs. Fields Crop Research. **65**: 93-106.
- Moll RH, Kamprath EJ, Jackson WA (1982). Analysis and interpretation of factors which contribute to efficiency of nitrogen utilization. Agronomy Journal. 74: 562-564.

- Ortiz Monasterio JI, Sayre KD and Rajaram S (1997). Genetic Progress in Wheat Yield and Nitrogen Use Efficiency under Four Nitrogen Rates. Crop Science. 37:898-904.
- Rengal Z and Graham RD (1995). Importance of seed Zn content for wheat growth on Zn-deficient soil. Plant and Soil. **173**: 259-274.
- Singh VP and Prasad A (1998). Effect of nitrogen levels and weed control methods on wheat under rainfed and irrigated conditions of low roll and valley situation. Annals of Agriculture Research. **19** (1): 72-76.
- Tilman D, Fargone J, Wolff BD, Antonio C, Dobson A, Howarth R, Schinder D, Schlesinger WH, Simberloff D and Swackhamer D (2001). Forecasting agroculturally driven global environmental change. Science. **292**: 281-284.
- Torabi M and Malakuti MJ (1997). Evaluation of sources and rates of nitrogen on rainfed wheat and determining its DRIS indices. Soil and water journal. **10** (1): 21-28.



100

